Metabolic effects of caffeine in humans: lipid oxidation or futile cycling?1–3

Kevin J Acheson, Gérard Gremaud, Isabelle Meirim, Franck Montigon, Yves Krebs, Laurent B Fay, Louis-Jean Gay, Philippe Schneiter, Charles Schindler, and Luc Tappy

ABSTRACT
Background: Caffeine ingestion stimulates both lipolysis and energy expenditure.
Objectives: Our objectives were to determine whether the lipolytic effect of caffeine is associated with increased lipid oxidation or futile cycling between triacylglycerol and free fatty acids (FFAs) and whether the effects of caffeine are mediated via the sympathetic nervous system.
Design: Respiratory exchange and [1-13C]palmitate were used to trace lipid oxidation and FFA turnover in 8 healthy, young men for 90 min before and 240 min after ingestion of placebo, caffeine (10 mg/kg), or caffeine during β-adrenoceptor blockade.
Results: During fasting conditions, there were few differences in measured variables between the 3 tests. During steady state conditions (last hour of the test) after ingestion of caffeine, lipid turnover increased 2-fold (P < 0.005), and the mean (±SEM) thermic effect was 13.3 ± 2.2% (P < 0.001), both of which were greater than after ingestion of placebo or caffeine during β-adrenoceptor blockade. After ingestion of caffeine, oxidative FFA disposal increased 44% (236 ± 21 to 340 ± 16 μmol/min), whereas nonoxidative FFA disposal increased 2.3-fold (455 ± 66 to 1054 ± 242 μmol/min; P < 0.01). In postabsorptive conditions, 34% of lipids were oxidized and 66% were recycled. Caffeine ingestion increased energy expenditure 13% and doubled the turnover of lipids, of which 24% were oxidized and 76% were recycled. β-Adrenoceptor blockade decreased, but did not inhibit, these variables.
Conclusions: Many, but not all, of the effects of caffeine are mediated via the sympathetic nervous system. The effect of caffeine on lipid mobilization in resting conditions can be interpreted in 2 ways: lipid mobilization alone is insufficient to drive lipid oxidation, or large increments in lipid turnover result in small increments in lipid oxidation.
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INTRODUCTION
For many years it has been known that caffeine and coffee can stimulate energy expenditure to varying degrees (1–3), and the use of caffeine alone (3) or in combination with ephedrine (4–8) has been proposed for the treatment of obesity. Although acute studies using caffeine or coffee alone showed that lipolysis and lipid oxidation increased in lean subjects, increases were not obvious in obese subjects (1). However, Bracco et al (9) observed that, compared with lean women, obese women had increased but blunted lipid oxidation the day after caffeine ingestion. Astrup et al (4) also observed increased fat oxidation in obese women who consumed a restricted diet and a mixture of ephedrine and caffeine over an 8-wk period.

The increase in energy expenditure and changes in plasma substrates after caffeine ingestion resemble those caused by increased activity of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS). Bellet et al (10) observed increased plasma free fatty acid (FFA) concentrations and increased urinary catecholamine excretion (11) after caffeine or coffee ingestion and suggested that increased lipolysis was, in part, due to increased catecholamine release. However, other studies performed during the same time period showed that caffeine also increases lipolysis by inhibiting the cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase (12), which is responsible for catalyzing the conversion of cyclic AMP to AMP. The resulting high tissue concentrations of cyclic AMP activate inactive hormone-sensitive lipase and promote lipolysis. The authors of these studies showed that in the presence of lipolytic hormones, such as adrenaline, caffeine acts synergistically and causes an increase in cyclic AMP concentrations that is greater than that caused by the hormones alone (12). Thus, there is evidence that caffeine stimulates not only resting energy expenditure but lipid mobilization and fat oxidation as well.

To investigate the metabolic effects of caffeine further, we used a combination of slow-release caffeine and normal caffeine to obtain and maintain high plasma caffeine concentrations that is greater than that caused by the hormones alone (12). Thus, there is evidence that caffeine stimulates not only resting energy expenditure but lipid mobilization and fat oxidation as well.
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TABLE 1
Physical characteristics of the male volunteers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>77.1 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>174.4 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.5 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%) by wt</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kg)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean body mass (kg)</td>
<td>65.8 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* x ± SD; n = 8.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

Eight healthy male volunteers, whose physical characteristics are shown in Table 1, were recruited. The protocol, which had previously been submitted to and approved by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Lausanne University, contained a simplified but detailed account of all of the experimental procedures. The subjects were accepted into the study after being fully informed about the protocol and giving their signed consent.

Protocol

Each subject underwent 4 experiments during which either caffeine or 500 mg lactose placebo was ingested to investigate energy expenditure, lipid turnover, and fat oxidation. In the third experiment, the influence of the SNS on energy expenditure, lipid turnover, and fat oxidation. In the above protocol was also used for the test in which the contribution of the SNS was investigated. Propranolol (100 µg/kg bolus and 1 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹) was infused continuously starting 60 min before ingestion of the caffeine capsules and for a further 210 min. Propranolol infusion was stopped 30 min before the respiratory exchange measurements ended at 240 min.

During the [1-¹³C]acetate labeled-carbon recovery test (13), respiratory exchange measurements were performed for 6 h. After collection of a baseline breath sample, sodium bicarbonate was given as a bolus (480 µmol), and an infusion of [1-¹³C]acetate (prime: 150 µmol; continuous: 6 µmol/min) was started at the same time. Three hours later, the subjects ingested gelatin capsules containing caffeine (5 mg slow-release caffeine/kg + 5 mg caffeine/kg), and measurements were continued for a further 3 h. Breath samples were collected every hour until the end of the test.

Stable isotopes, caffeine, and respiratory exchange measurements

All stable isotopes were purchased from MassTrace Inc (Woburn, MA). [1-¹³C]Palmitate was bound to albumin as previously described (14) and was infused at a continuous rate of 0.04 µmol · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹. The exact infusion rate was calculated by measuring the concentration of labeled palmitate in the infusate and multiplying it by the calibration factor of the infusion pump. Sodium bicarbonate was given as a bolus providing 2 µmol/kg.

The caffeine ingested by the subjects was of 2 types: normal pharmacologic grade and slow release. Both types were obtained from Eurand France SA (Nogent sur Oise, France), and the subjects received 5 mg of either type/kg. Respiratory exchange measurements were performed by using an open-circuit indirect calorimeter with a ventilated hood as described previously (15).

Blood and urine samples

Blood samples were taken at –90, –30, –20, –10, and 0 min. After caffeine or placebo administration, samples were taken at 30-min intervals until 3 h, and then a final sample was taken at 4 h. Urine was collected before, during (when necessary), and at the end of the experiment.

Analyses

Plasma samples

All samples were analyzed for concentrations of glucose (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA), palmitate, and FFAs (see below). Blood urea in samples obtained at 0 and 240 min was measured by using a Beckman autoanalyzer. Insulin was measured by using radioimmunoassay with a kit from Megenix (Brussels). Caffeine and paraxanthine were measured as follows. β-Hydroxyethyl theophylline was added to plasma samples as an internal standard, and caffeine and paraxanthine were extracted with chloroform:isopropanol. After centrifugation for 5 min at 1300 × g and 4 °C, the supernatant fluid was removed and discarded. The remainder was evaporated to dryness at 37 °C under nitrogen, resuspended in 0.05% (by vol)
aqueous acetic acid, and analyzed in triplicate by using HPLC (16).

Urine samples

Total nitrogen was measured in all urine samples by using the Kjeldahl method. Caffeine and paraxanthine were extracted in the same way as for plasma samples and were then analyzed in triplicate by using HPLC with β-hydroxyethyl theophylline as the internal standard (16).

Breath samples

Three breath samples were collected during the baseline period before infusion of labeled [1-13C]palmitate, 2 samples were collected before caffeine or placebo ingestion, and additional samples were taken thereafter at 30-min intervals until the end of the test.

Stable-isotope analysis

For measurement of FFAs, [4-13C]palmitate and [4-13C]octanoate were added as internal standards to each plasma sample, and the samples were incubated with ice-cold methanol. The protein precipitate was centrifuged for 15 min at 4000 × g and 4 °C, and the supernatant fluid was removed and dried under nitrogen. The dry residue was redissolved in acetonitrile/chloroform (3:1, by vol), and the FFAs were separated by thin-layer chromatography. After visualization and location with rhodamine G6 and ultraviolet light, the FFA band was removed, and the FFAs were converted into their methyl esters. FFAs were measured by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (model 6890 gas chromatograph and model 5972 mass spectrometer; Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA) with electron impact ionization (70 eV). Ions were selectively monitored at mass-to-charge ratios (m/e) of 270, 271, and 274 to quantify isotopic enrichment in the plasma resulting from [1-13C]palmitate infusion (m/e of 271) and to determine the palmitate concentration by using the in vitro addition of the internal standard [4-13C]palmitate (m/e of 274). Ions with an m/e of 158 and 162 were used to calculate the concentration of octanoate, and ions with an m/e of 74 (nonspecific, abundant ions) were used to calculate the concentrations of FFAs having higher masses. Standard curves were prepared for FFA and palmitate concentrations and palmitate enrichment.

Calculations

Protein oxidation in the fasting postabsorptive state was calculated from total urinary nitrogen in the overnight urine sample. For each test, urinary nitrogen excretion was corrected for any changes observed in the serum urea nitrogen pool between 0 and 240 min (17). Energy expenditure and carbohydrates and lipid oxidation rates were calculated from respiratory exchange data as previously described by using the equations of Livesey and Elia (18). Mean values were calculated during the last half hour of baseline measurements and compared with the mean plateau values recorded during the last hour of the experiment, ie, 180–240 min. The rate of appearance of palmitate was calculated as described by Wolfe et al (19) by using Steele’s steady state equations.

Statistics

Results are expressed as means ± SEMs, unless stated otherwise, and were analyzed by using repeated-measures analysis of variance. The variables used were condition (baseline or stimulated state), treatment [placebo, caffeine, or caffeine during β-adrenoceptor blockade (caffeine + βB)], and subject (subjects 1–8). Significant differences between tests were further analyzed by using Bonferroni multiple comparison tests and NUMBER CRUNCHER STATISTICAL SYSTEMS software (NCSS Statistical Software, Kaysville, UT) to calculate pairwise differences between the means. P values for analysis of variance and Bonferroni-adjusted P values are reported in the text. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Blood variables

Plasma caffeine and paraxanthine concentrations during the different experiments are shown in Figure 1. Baseline caffeine concentrations were ≤0.2 μg/mL, which confirmed that caffeine-containing products had not been ingested during the 24 h before the test, and caffeine concentrations were unchanged after placebo ingestion. After caffeine ingestion (with or without propranolol), plasma caffeine concentrations increased rapidly to 9.8–10 μg/mL at 60 min and remained in the range of 9.0–10 μg/mL for the next 3 h. Paraxanthine concentrations were not detectable during fasting conditions or during the placebo experiment, but they increased progressively throughout each experiment after caffeine ingestion and reached a maximum value of 3.0 ± 0.3 μg/mL at 4 h.

Other plasma concentrations are shown in Figure 2. FFA concentrations in the placebo, caffeine, and caffeine + βB

FIGURE 1. Effect of a lactose placebo and of caffeine (5 mg slow-release caffeine/kg + 5 mg caffeine/kg) in the presence or absence of β-adrenoceptor blockade with propranolol (βB) on mean (±SEM) plasma caffeine and paraxanthine concentrations (n = 8).
experiments were 108 ± 12, 125 ± 17, and 106 ± 16 mg/L, respectively, in the postabsorptive state and increased to 136 ± 8, 230 ± 23, and 211 ± 24 mg/L, respectively, during the last hour of the test. Glucose concentrations during fasting conditions did not differ significantly between the 3 experiments (93–95 mg/dL). Although no significant changes were observed after placebo and caffeine ingestion, glucose concentrations during the caffeine + βB experiment increased to 100 mg/dL at 90 min and plateaued at this value until 240 min.

Baseline insulin concentrations in the placebo, caffeine, and caffeine + βB experiments were 5.4 ± 0.8, 6.0 ± 1.0, and 5.2 ± 1.0 μU/mL, respectively. During the experiments, the concentrations fluctuated around the baseline values but did not change significantly.

Heart rate

There were significant treatment effects (P < 0.001) and significant treatment-by-condition interactions (P < 0.01) (Figure 3). In the postabsorptive fasting state, the heart rates in the placebo and the caffeine experiments did not differ significantly from one another (58 ± 3 and 60 ± 4 beats/min, respectively), but both differed significantly from the heart rate during fasting conditions in the caffeine + βB experiment (54 ± 3 beats/min; P < 0.02 and P < 0.001, respectively). The heart rates during the last hour of the 3 experiments did not differ significantly from their respective baseline values but did differ significantly from one another (P < 0.02).

Energy expenditure

There were significant treatment (P < 0.001) and condition (P < 0.001) effects and significant treatment-by-condition interactions (P < 0.001) for energy expenditure (Figure 4). Postabsorptive energy expenditure did not differ between the 3 experiments immediately before administration of placebo, caffeine, or caffeine + βB (1.37 ± 0.036, 1.37 ± 0.039, and 1.32 ± 0.035 kcal/min, respectively). Although, β-blockade before caffeine administration in the caffeine + βB experiment caused a decrease in energy expenditure (1.37 ± 0.037 to 1.32 ± 0.035 kcal/min), this change was not significant. After caffeine administration, energy expenditure increased significantly (P < 0.001) and reached a value of 1.55 ± 0.038 kcal/min during the last hour of the test, which was significantly higher than the corresponding values obtained after administration of either placebo (1.40 ± 0.04 kcal/min; P < 0.001) or caffeine + βB (1.41 ± 0.044 kcal/min; P < 0.001).

FIGURE 2. Effect of a lactose placebo and of caffeine (5 mg slow-release caffeine/kg + 5 mg caffeine/kg) in the presence or absence of β-adrenoceptor blockade with propranolol (βB) on mean (±SEM) plasma concentrations of free fatty acids (FFAs), glucose, and insulin (n = 8).

FIGURE 3. Mean (±SEM) heart rates at baseline and during the last hour of each experiment in which either a lactose placebo, caffeine (5 mg slow-release caffeine/kg + 5 mg caffeine/kg), or caffeine during β-adrenoceptor blockade with propranolol (βB) was administered (n = 8). Repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant treatment effect (P < 0.001) and significant treatment-by-condition interactions (P < 0.01). Bars with different letters are significantly different, P < 0.02 (Bonferroni multiple comparison test).

FIGURE 4. Effect of a lactose placebo and of caffeine (5 mg slow-release caffeine/kg + 5 mg caffeine/kg) in the presence or absence of β-adrenoceptor blockade with propranolol (βB) on mean (±SEM) energy expenditure and thermic effect (n = 8). For energy expenditure, repeated-measures ANOVA showed significant treatment (P < 0.001) and condition (P < 0.001) effects and significant treatment-by-condition interactions (P < 0.001). For thermic effect, one-way ANOVA showed a significant treatment effect (P < 0.001). Bars with different letters are significantly different, P < 0.02 (Bonferroni multiple comparison test).
The thermic effect of caffeine, ie, the mean energy expenditure during the last hour of the test expressed as a percentage of fasting energy expenditure, was 13.3 ± 2.2%, which was greater than the thermic effect of placebo (2.0 ± 0.5%; \( P < 0.001 \)) or caffeine + βB (6.4 ± 1.2%; \( P < 0.02 \)) (Figure 4).

### Substrate utilization

Carbohydrate oxidation was influenced significantly by treatment (\( P < 0.005 \)) and treatment-by-condition interactions (\( P < 0.02 \)) (Table 2). Although caffeine treatment did not significantly influence carbohydrate oxidation (101 ± 11 mg/min in the postabsorptive state compared with 104 ± 7 mg/min after caffeine administration), carbohydrate oxidation before placebo administration (115 ± 12 mg/min) was significantly higher than that before propranolol infusion (85 ± 16 mg/min; \( P < 0.02 \)) and that after placebo administration (89 ± 6 mg/min; \( P < 0.05 \)). Lipid and protein oxidation rates did not differ significantly between the 3 treatments at baseline (lipids: 64 ± 4, 68 ± 6, and 69 ± 10 mg/min in the placebo, caffeine, and caffeine + βB experiments, respectively; protein: 67 ± 6, 60 ± 6, and 67 ± 4 mg/min, respectively) but changed significantly after treatment (\( P < 0.001 \)): lipid oxidation increased, and protein oxidation decreased.

### Free fatty acid turnover and fatty acid disposal

Total FFA turnover and its components, oxidative and nonoxidative lipid disposal, during postabsorptive fasting conditions and the last hour of each test are shown in Figure 5. For total FFA turnover, there were significant treatment (\( P < 0.01 \)) and condition (\( P < 0.001 \)) effects and significant treatment-by-condition interactions (\( P < 0.02 \)). In the baseline state in the placebo, caffeine, and caffeine + βB experiments, total FFA turnovers were 673 ± 88, 691 ± 74, and 626 ± 109 µmol/min, respectively, of which oxidative disposal contributed 33%, 34%, and 39%, respectively. FFA turnover doubled to 1394 ± 235 µmol/min after caffeine administration (\( P < 0.005 \)) but did not change significantly after administration of either placebo (711 ± 71 µmol/min) or caffeine + βB (897 ± 117 µmol/min). Oxidative disposal was significantly influenced by condition (\( P < 0.001 \)), which indicated a general trend for fatty acid oxidation to increase after treatments. For nonoxidative fatty acid disposal, there were significant treatment (\( P < 0.01 \)) and condition (\( P < 0.01 \)) effects and significant treatment-by-condition interactions (\( P < 0.02 \)). Baseline postabsorptive values for nonoxidative fatty acid disposal did not differ significantly between the 3 treatments; however, with caffeine administration, the baseline value increased >2-fold (from 455 ± 66 to 1054 ± 242 µmol/min; \( P < 0.01 \)).

### DISCUSSION

In agreement with the results of previous studies (1, 20), the present study showed that caffeine ingestion increased energy expenditure (∼13%) and lipid turnover and oxidation. However, the contribution of nonoxidative lipid disposal was much greater (76%) than that of lipid oxidation (24%). β-Adrenoceptor blockade with propranolol decreased caffeine-stimulated energy expenditure and lipid turnover, which indicates that some of the metabolic effects of caffeine are mediated by the SNS. Because the dose of propranolol may not have blocked all β-adrenergic receptors, ie, β1 receptors (21), the present results...

---

**TABLE 2**

Carbohydrate, lipid, and protein oxidation during the last 30 min of baseline measurements and the last 60 min of the 3 treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Carbohydrate oxidation (^{2}) (mg/min)</th>
<th>Lipid oxidation (^{2}) (mg/min)</th>
<th>Protein oxidation (^{2}) (mg/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>115 ± 12</td>
<td>89 ± 6(^{a})</td>
<td>64 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine</td>
<td>101 ± 11</td>
<td>104 ± 7</td>
<td>68 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine + βB</td>
<td>85 ± 16(^{3})</td>
<td>85 ± 11</td>
<td>69 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\) ± SEM; \( n = 8 \). Caffeine + βB, caffeine during β-adrenoceptor blockade with propranolol.

\(^{2}\) Repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of treatment (\( P < 0.005 \)) and a significant treatment-by-condition interaction (\( P < 0.02 \)).

\(^{3}\) Repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant main effect of time (\( P < 0.001 \)).

\(^{4}\) Significantly different from baseline carbohydrate oxidation with placebo treatment (repeated-measures ANOVA and Bonferroni multiple comparison tests). \(^{5}\) \( P < 0.05 \), \(^{6}\) \( P < 0.02 \).
CAFFEINE AND LIPID METABOLISM

indicate that the SNS accounts for \( \geq 50\% \) of the energy expenditure and 60% of the lipid turnover induced by caffeine ingestion. At the dose of propranolol used, the observed effect would not be mediated by \( \beta_1 \) receptors, but it could be argued that the remaining effects would be. If the decrease in fasting energy expenditure with propranolol were not taken into account when calculating the thermic effect of caffeine + \( \beta_B \), the thermic effect would be 2.1 \( \pm \) 2.6\% (NS compared with placebo). In this case, all of the metabolic effects of caffeine would be mediated via the SNS. We believe, however, that caffeine did stimulate thermogenesis during \( \beta \)-adrenoceptor blockade, as indicated by the similar, almost parallel increases in energy expenditure observed during the first hour after caffeine ingestion (Figure 4).

In vitro, caffeine acts synergistically in the presence of adrenaline to promote lipolytic activity (12), which suggests that the effect of caffeine on lipolysis occurs via mechanisms other than stimulation of the SNS. In vivo, it has been observed that many of the metabolic effects of caffeine are very similar to those of increased SNS activity, such as increased heart rate, blood pressure (22), plasma adrenaline (22), urinary catecholamine excretion (11), and plasma FFAs (10, 22). Similarly, caffeine has been observed to potentiate the effects of ephedrine, a sympathomimetic agent that is capable of increasing energy expenditure (5, 7, 8) and promoting the loss of body fat. This result further supports the view that mechanisms other than SNS stimulation are involved in the metabolic effects of caffeine.

In postabsorptive fasting conditions, FFA turnover in the present study was similar to that observed by Arciero et al (20). Of the FFAs released, 67%, 66%, and 61% were reesterified (nonoxidative lipid disposal) during the placebo, caffeine, and caffeine + \( \beta_B \) experiments, respectively, and these values are consistent with previously reported values during fasting conditions (23–25). The slightly lower turnover rate observed in the present study during fasting conditions with \( \beta \)-adrenoceptor blockade has been observed before (26), which shows either that baseline sympathetic tone has an effect on fasting lipolysis or that \( \beta \)-adrenergic stimulation already contributes to lipolysis after a short-term overnight fast (26). This may also explain the slight decrease in baseline energy expenditure during propranolol infusion and should be taken into account when the thermic effect of caffeine + \( \beta \)-adrenoceptor blockade is calculated.

FFA turnover doubled after caffeine ingestion because of a 44% increase in fatty acid oxidation and a much greater increase in fatty acid recycling (130%). During the placebo experiment, the small increase in FFA turnover was entirely due to an increase in fatty acid oxidation. Although it is well known that the effect of caffeine on energy expenditure and \( \beta \)-adrenoceptors is dose dependent, extrapolation of the present observations to other caffeine doses remains to be performed. The results of the present study support those of previous studies showing that plasma FFAs influence their own oxidation in humans (23, 24); however, in resting conditions, large increments in lipid turnover are apparently necessary to induce a relatively small increase in lipid oxidation.

Adrenergic control of lipolysis occurs via the effects of a dual mechanism involving stimulatory \( \beta \)-adrenoceptors and inhibitory \( \alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptors on adenylyl cyclase (27). The adenosine receptor also inhibits lipolysis via adenylyl cyclase; thus, by virtue of its adenosine antagonist properties, caffeine stimulates lipolysis in vitro and in vivo (28). The large increase in FFA turnover after caffeine ingestion was probably due to the combined effects of caffeine on \( \beta \)-adrenergic lipolytic stimulation and on antagonism of the antilipolytic effects of adenosine. \( \beta \)-Adrenoceptor blockade has also been shown to significantly decrease triacylglycerol–fatty acid cycling in patients with burn injuries (29). During \( \beta \)-adrenoceptor blockade in the present study, a small but significant increase in plasma glucose concentrations occurred. A similar phenomenon, in which fructosemia increased during propranolol infusion, was observed previously (30) and was attributed to decreased hepatic blood flow (31, 32) and clearance (31, 33).

The mechanisms underlying the thermic effect of caffeine remain unknown. The effect may involve stimulation of futile cycling, particularly triacylglycerol–fatty acid and glucose-lactate cycles, or activation of uncoupling proteins. These processes have indeed been observed to be responsive to sympathetic stimulation or catecholamine administration. It is also possible that other, yet unknown mechanisms are involved.

After \( \beta \)-blockade the thermic effect of caffeine was still 6.4\%, which shows that caffeine probably has nonadrenergic thermogenic mechanisms. Caffeine is known to be an agonist of the ryanodine receptor (34), the calcium ion release channel of sarcoplasmic reticulum in skeletal muscle. Ryanodine receptor stimulation increases intramyocellular calcium flux, muscle contraction, heat production, glycolysis, ATP turnover, and mitochondrial pyruvate oxidation (35). In the inherited pathophysiologic condition “malignant hyperthermia,” volatile anesthetics cause excessive calcium mobilization, muscle catabolism, hyperthermia, cell lysis, and death (36). It is, therefore, possible that caffeine influences whole-body thermogenesis via changes in intracellular calcium trafficking. Indeed, Astrup et al (37, 38) proposed that the principal thermogenic tissue in humans is skeletal muscle, albeit in response to SNS stimulation, and Zurlo et al (39) showed that differences in resting muscle metabolism can explain some of the variance in metabolic rate between persons.

Although caffeine caused a 2-fold increase in fatty acid turnover, lipid oxidation increased by only 44\%, which indicates that most mobilized fatty acids are reesterified in resting conditions. This is in contrast with exercise, which causes an increase in the turnover of fatty acids, of which 70-80\% are oxidized (24). However, it is likely that muscle energy requirements during exercise are roughly balanced by a coordinated stimulation of adipose tissue lipolysis that is attained through activation of the SNS. Caffeine administration, in contrast, produces a much greater effect on adipose tissue lipolysis than on energy expenditure and thus represents a pharmacologic model of stimulated lipolysis. Under such conditions, only a small fraction of the fatty acids released from adipocytes are oxidized, and this fraction would be even smaller if caffeine did not simultaneously increase energy expenditure. This clearly indicates that stimulation of lipolysis per se is not a major factor in the regulation of lipid oxidation or energy expenditure and casts doubts on strategies aimed primarily at stimulating lipolysis to control body weight. Consequently, combining stimulation of lipolysis by caffeine administration and stimulation of energy expenditure by exercise may prove more effective than giving caffeine alone.

In conclusion, the results of the present study show not only that caffeine stimulates resting energy expenditure but that this
increased cellular thermogenesis is accompanied by an increase in fatty acid turnover and lipid oxidation. However, the increase in nonoxidative lipid turnover (triacylglycerol hydrolysis and reesterification) is far greater than the increase in oxidative lipid disposal, which indicates that a large increase in turnover rate is necessary to cause a small increase in lipid oxidation. The effect of caffeine on lipid and energy metabolism appears to have both sympathetic and nonsympathetic components.
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